

Chicago, IL 60661-2511

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 08/28/2003 00682P0070US 7285 10/650,660 Kimiaki Asano 08/12/2004 **EXAMINER** WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ, CLARK & MORTIMER BASICHAS, ALFRED Citicorp Center ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Suite 3800 500 West Madison Street 3749

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Ü
Office Action Summary	10/650,660	ASANO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alfred Basichas	3749	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 A	ugust 2003.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	ix parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the I	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio			
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/20/03. 	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/650,660

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figures 18 and 19 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art--because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,660

Art Unit: 3749

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 3. Claims 1,2, and 6-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) or (e) as being anticipated by Okada (6,402,505), which shows all of the claimed limitations. Okada shows a combustion apparatus including, among other things, a spraying means (see at least fig. 1) for spraying a fuel to be burnt, a fuel channel for flowing the fuel therethrough, a fuel pump 2 disposed in the fuel channel so as to compress the fuel flowing towards the spraying means, an intermittently operating valve 4 (see at least fig. 6) disposed in the fuel channel so that a valve body of the valve is driven to close and open the channel intermittently or periodically, and a valve controller 32 (see at least col. 11-18, figs. 16-27) to control the timing at which the valve body is driven to close and open the channel. Okada further shows an ignition controller 32 (see at least col. 7, lines 1-9) and temperature sensing means 111.
- 4. Claims 1,2, and 6-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kato (6,024,064), which shows all of the claimed limitations. Kato shows a combustion apparatus including, among other things, a spraying means for spraying a fuel to be burnt and a fuel channel for flowing the fuel therethrough (see at least fig. 1), a fuel

Art Unit: 3749

pump 230 disposed in the fuel channel so as to compress the fuel flowing towards the spraying means, an intermittently operating valve 220 disposed in the fuel channel so that a valve body of the valve is driven to close and open the channel intermittently or periodically, and a valve controller 110 to control the timing at which the valve body is driven to close and open the channel. Kato further shows an ignition controller 110 (see at least fig. 12).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Art Unit: 3749

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okada (6,402,505) or Kato (6,024,064), which both disclose substantially all of the claimed limitations. Okada and Kato do not specifically recite using alternating current to drive the fuel pump. Official Notice is given that utilizing alternating current to drive a fuel pump is old and well known in the art. Such an arrangement has the clear and obvious benefit of providing for an efficient source of power. Electrical power is notoriously old, as well as both AC and DC currents. It is simply safer to utilize AC currents with combustible materials. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have incorporated alternating current drive into the invention disclosed by Okada or Kato, so as to provide for a safe and efficient means of driving the fuel pump.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alfred Basichas whose telephone number is 703 306

Application/Control Number: 10/650,660 Page 6

Art Unit: 3749

3476. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday during regular business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on 703 308 1935. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0861.

August 9, 2004

Primary Examiner 703 306 3476